

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/028,286	SAINT-HILAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan Hamann	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan Hamann.

(2) Victoria Donnelly.

Status of Application: Amended after non-final office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 May 2006

Time: 10:15am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No.

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2,19,22,23,26,29,31,31,57-59

Prior art documents discussed:

Waclawsky (US 6,449,255 B1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jordan Hamann
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendment to put application in condition for allowance. Add claim 2 to claim 1, add claim 32 claim 31, and delete "any one of". Cancel claims 22, 23 and 57-59. Delete "adapted to" from all claims in which it appears. Agent agreed to make proposed changes by a supplementary amendment..